

SUGGESTED SOLUTION IPCC NOVEMBER 2016 EXAM

COSTING

Test Code - I N J1 1 4 5

BRANCH - (MUMBAI) (Date :28.08.2016)

Head Office :Shraddha, 3rd Floor, Near Chinai College, Andheri (E), Mumbai – 69.

Tel: (022) 26836666

Answer-1:
(i) Costing Profit and Loss Account for the year ended 31st March 2016:

| Particulars | Amount (Rs.) | Particulars | Amount (Rs.) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Material consumed | 14,16,000 | Sales (30,000 units) | 30,00,000 |
| Direct wages | 7,42,000 | | |
| Prime Cost | 21,58,000 | | |
| Works overheads (20% of Prime cost) | <u>4,31,600</u> | | |
| | 25,89,600 | | |
| Less: Work in progress | <u>(54,000)</u> | | |
| Factory cost | 25,35,600 | | |
| Administration overheads | | | |
| (Rs.5 × 32,000 units) | <u>1,60,000</u> | | |
| Cost of production of goodsproduced | 26,95,600 | | |
| Less: Finished stock | (1,68,475) | | |
| Cost of production of goods sold | 25,27,125 | | |
| Selling and distributionoverheads | | | |
| (Rs.6 × 30,000 unit) | <u>1,80,000</u> | | |
| Cost of sales | 27,07,125 | | |
| Profit (balancing figure) | 2,92,875 | | |
| | 30,00,000 | | 30,00,000 |

(4 Marks)

(ii) Statement reconciling the profit as per costing profit and loss account with the profitas per financial accounts

| Particulars | Amount (Rs.) | Amount (Rs.) |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| Profit as per cost records | | 2,92,875 |
| Add: Overheads over-absorbed: | | |
| - Works overheads (Rs. 4,31,600 – Rs. 4,26,000) | 5,600 | |
| - Administration OH (Rs. 1,60,000 – Rs. 1,50,000) | 10,000 | |
| - Selling and Distribution (Rs. 1,80,000 – Rs. 1,65,000) | <u>15,000</u> | 30,600 |
| Less: Closing stock overvalued (Rs. 1,68,475 – Rs. 1,67,500) | | <u>(975)</u> |
| Profit as per financial accounts | | 3,22,500 |
| | | |

^{*}It is assumed that the number of units Produced

(4 Marks)

Answer-2:

(i) Amount of under-absorption of production overheads during the year 2013-14

| | | (KS.) |
|---|---------------------|---------------|
| Total production overheads actually incurred during the year 20 | 013-14 | 6,00,000 |
| Less: 'Written off' obsolete stores | Rs. 45,000 | |
| Wages paid for strike period | Rs. 30,000 | <u>75,000</u> |
| Net production overheads actually incurred: (A) | | 5,25,000 |
| Production overheads absorbed by 48,000 machines hours @ F | Rs.10 per hour: (B) | 4,80,000 |
| Amount of under-absorption of production overheads: [(A)–(B) |] | <u>45,000</u> |
| | | (2 Marks) |

⁼ Number of units sold + Finished stock = 30,000 + 2,000 = 32,000 units.

| (ii) | Accounting treatment of under absorption of production overheads: It is given in the statement of |
|------|---|
| | the question that 20,000 units were completely finished and 8,000 unitswere 50% complete, one |
| | third of the under-absorbed overheads were due to lack ofproduction planning and the rest were |
| | attributable to normal increase in costs. |

| | | (Rs.) |
|----|---|---------------|
| 1. | (33-1/3% of Rs.45,000) i.e. Rs.15,000 of under – absorbed overheads | |
| | were due to lack of production planning. This being abnormal, | |
| | should be debited to the Profit and Loss A/c | 15,000 |
| 2 | Balance (66-2/3% of Rs.45,000) i.e. Rs.30,000 of under – absorbed | |
| | overheads should be distributed over work-in-progress, finished | |
| | goods and cost of sales by using supplementary rate | <u>30,000</u> |
| | Total under-absorbed overheads | <u>45,000</u> |
| | | (2 Marks) |

Apportionment of unabsorbed overheads of Rs.30,000 over, work-in-progress, finished goods and cost of sales.

| Equivale | nt Completed units | (Rs.) |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| Work-in-progress (4,000 units × Rs.1.25) (Refer to Working Note) | 4,000 | 5,000 |
| Finished goods (2,000 units × Rs.1.25) | 2,000 | 2,500 |
| Cost of sales (18,000 units × Rs.1.25) | <u>18,000</u> | 22,500 |
| | 24,000 | 30,000 |
| | | (2 Mar |

Accounting treatment:

| Work-in-progress control A/c | Dr. | Rs.5,000 |
|------------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Finished goods control A/c | Dr. | Rs.2,500 |
| Cost of Sales A/c | Dr. | Rs.22,500 |
| Profit & Loss A/c | Dr. | Rs.15,000 |

To Overhead control A/c. Rs. 45,000

Working Note:

Supplementary overhead absorption rate
$$=\frac{Rs.30,000}{24,000 \text{ units}} = = Rs.1.25 \text{ per unit}$$

(2 Marks)

Answer-3:

Workings:

Monthly Production of X = 30,000 kgs.

Raw Material Required = $\frac{30,000}{3}$ x 5 = 50,000 kgs.

Material A =
$$\frac{50,000}{5}$$
 x 3 = 30,000 kg.

Material B =
$$\frac{50,000}{5}$$
 x 2 = 20,000 kg.

(2 Marks)

(i) Calculation of Economic Order Quantity (EOQ):

=
$$\sqrt{\frac{5,76,00,000}{1.122}}$$
 = 7,164.97 or 7,165 kg.

(3 Marks)

(ii) Calculation of Maximum Stock level: Since, the Material A is perishable in natureand it required to be used within 5 days, hence, the Maximum Stock Level shall belower of two:

(a) Stock equal to 5 days consumption

$$= \frac{30,000 \text{ kg.}}{25 \text{ days}} \times 5 \text{ days} = 6,000 \text{ kg.}$$

(a) Maximum Stock Level for Material A:

Re-order Quantity + Re-order level – (Min consumption* × Min. lead time)

Where, Re-order Quantity = 8,000 kg.

Re-order level = Max. Consumption* × Max. Lead time

 $= 30,000/25 \times 2 \text{ days} = 2,400 \text{ kg}.$

Maximum stock Level = $8,000 \text{ kg.} + 2,400 \text{ kg.} - (30,000/25 \times 1 \text{ day})$

= 10,400 - 1,200 = 9,200 kg.

Stock required for 5 days consumption is lower than the maximum stock levelcalculated through the formula. Therefore, Maximum Stock Level will be 6,000 kg.

(*Since, production is processed evenly throughout the month hence materialconsumption will also be even.)

(2 Marks)

(iii) Calculation of Savings/ loss in Material A if purchase quantity equals to EOQ.

| | Purchase Quantity = 8,000 kg. | Purchase Quantity = EOQ i.e. 6,197 kg. |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Annual consumption | 3,60,000 kg. | 3,60,000 kg. |
| | (30,000 × 12 months) | (30,000 × 12 months) |
| No. of orders [Note- (i)] | 60 | 60 |
| | $(3,60,000 \div 6,000)$ | $(3,60,000 \div 6,000)$ |
| Ordering Cost (a) | Rs.7,200 | Rs.7,200 |
| | (Rs.120 × 60) | $(Rs.120 \times 60)$ |
| Carrying Cost (b)[Note- (ii)] | Rs.8,100 | Rs.6,972 |
| | (15% of Rs.13.50 ×4,000) | (15% of Rs.15 × 3,098.5) |
| Purchase Cost (c) | Rs.48,60,000 | Rs.54,00,000 |
| (for good portion) | $(Rs.13.50 \times 3,60,000)$ | $(Rs.15 \times 3,60,000)$ |
| Loss due to obsolescence (d) [Note- (iii)] | Rs.16,20,000 | Rs.1,77,300 |
| | $[Rs.13.5 \times (60 \times 2,000)]$ | $[Rs.15 \times (60 \times 197)]$ |
| Total Cost $[(a) + (b) + (c) + (d)]$ | Rs. 64,95,300 | Rs. 55,91,472 |

If purchase quantity equals to EOQ, there will be a saving of Rs.9,03,828 i.e. Rs. 64,95,300 - Rs. 55,91,472.

(3 iviark

Notes:

- (i) As after 5 days of purchase the Material A gets obsolete, the quantity inexcess of 5 days consumption i.e. 6,000 kg. are wasted. Hence, after 6,000 kg. afresh order needs to be given.
- (ii) Carrying cost is incurred on average stock of Materials purchased.
- (iii) the excess quantity of material gets obsolete and loss has to be incurred.

Answer-4:

Working notes:

| 1. | Total available hours per week | 2,400 |
|----|---|-------|
| | (60 workers × 40 hours) | |
| 2. | Total standard hours required to produce 19,200 units | 3,200 |
| | (19,200 units ÷ 6 units per hour) | |
| 3. | Total labour hours required after the | 2,400 |
| | introduction of bonus scheme to produce 19,200 units | |

^{*}Purchase price + 2% CST = Rs. 22 + 2% of Rs. 22 = Rs. 22.44

| | | (3 Marks) |
|----|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | (Rs.400 ÷ 40 hours) | |
| 5. | Wage rate per hour (Rs.) | 10 |
| | (3,200 hours – 2,400 hours) | |
| 4. | Time saved in hours | 800 |

6. Bonus:

(19,200 units ÷ 8 units per man hour)

(i) Halsey Scheme
$$= \frac{1}{2} \times \text{Time saved} \times \text{Wage rate per hour}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \times 800 \text{ hours} \times \text{Rs. } 10 = \text{Rs. } 4,000$$
(ii) Rowan Scheme
$$= \frac{\text{Time saved}}{\text{Time allowed}} \times \text{Time taken} \times \text{Wage rate per hour}$$

$$= \frac{800 \text{ hours}}{3,200 \text{ hours}} \times 2,400 \text{ hours} \times \text{Rs. } 10 = \text{Rs. } 6,000$$

(2 Marks)

Statement showing the effect on the company's weekly present profit by the introduction of Halsey & Rowan schemes

| | Present (Rs.) | Halsey (Rs.) | Rowan (Rs.) |
|---|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Sales revenue: (A) (19,200 units × Rs.11) | 2,11,200 | 2,11,200 | 2,11,200 |
| Direct material cost (19,200 units × Rs.8) | 1,53,600 | 1,53,600 | 1,53,600 |
| Direct wages (Refer to working notes 2 & | 3) 32,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| (3,20 | 00 hrs. × Rs.10) | (2,400 hrs. × Rs.10) | (2,400 hrs. × Rs.10) |
| Overtime premium | 4,000 | | |
| (| 800 hrs.×Rs. 5) | - | - |
| Bonus (Refer to working notes 6 (i) & (ii)) | - | 4,000 | 6,000 |
| Variable overheads | 1,600 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| (3,2) | 00 hr.×Rs.0.50) | (2,400 hr.×Rs.0.50) | (2,400 hr.×Rs.0.50) |
| Fixed overheads | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Total cost : (B) | 2,00,200 | 1,91,800 | 1,93,800 |
| Profit: {(A)- (B)} | 11,000 | 19,400 | 17,400 |

(3 Marks)

Answer-5:

Statement showing the cost per tonne-kilometre of carrying mineral from each mine

| | Mine A (Rs.) | Mine B (Rs.) |
|---|--|---|
| Fixed cost per trip: (Refer to working note 1) | | |
| (Driver's wages, depreciation, insurance and taxes) | | |
| A: 1 hour 20 minutes @ Rs. 9 per hour | 12.00 | |
| B: 1 hour 30 minutes @ Rs. 9 per hour | | 13.50 |
| Running and maintenance cost: | | |
| (Fuel, oil, tyres, repairs and maintenance) | | |
| A: 20 km. Rs. 1.20 per km. | 24.00 | |
| B: 30 km. Rs. 1.20 per km. | | <u>36.00</u> |
| Total cost per trip | <u>36.00</u> | <u>49.50</u> |
| Cost per tonne – km | 0.72 | 0.66 |
| (Refer to working note 2) | $\left(\frac{\text{Rs.36}}{\text{50 tonne - km}}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{\text{Rs.49.50}}{\text{75 tonne - km}}\right)$ |

(4 Marks)

| Working notes | | |
|--|---|-------------------|
| | Mine- A | Mine- B |
| (1) Total operated time taken per trip | | |
| Running time to & fro | 40 minutes | 60 minutes |
| | $\left(20 \text{ km x} \frac{60 \text{ minutes}}{20 \text{ km x}}\right)$ | km x 60 minutes |
| | 30 k.m. | 30 k.m. |
| Un-loading time | 10 minutes | 10 minutes |
| Loading time | 30 minutes | 20 minutes |
| Total operated time | 80 minutes or | 90 minutes or |
| | 1 hour 20 minutes | 1 hour 30 minutes |

(4 Marks)

(5 tonnes \times 10 km.) (5 tonnes \times 15 km.)

Answer-6:

(2). Effective tones – km.

Memorandum Reconciliation Accounts

| Particulars | Amount(Rs.) | Particulars A | mount(Rs.) |
|--|-------------|---|------------|
| To Net Loss as per Cost Accounts | 48,700 | By Administration overheads over recovered in Cost Accounts | 65,000 |
| To Factory overheads under | 30,500 | By Depreciation overcharged in | , |
| absorbed in Cost Accounts | | Cost Accounts | |
| | | (Rs. 2,70,000 - Rs. 2,25,000) | 45,000 |
| To Provision for Income tax | 52,400 | By Transfer fees in Financial | |
| | | Accounts | 10,200 |
| To Obsolescence loss | 20,700 | By Notional Rent of own premises | 54,000 |
| To Overvaluation of closing stock in | 9,500 | By Overvaluation of Opening stock | |
| Cost Accounts** | | in Cost Accounts* | 23,000 |
| To Net Profit (as per FinancialAccounts) | 35,400 | | |
| | 1,97,200 | | 1,97,200 |

^{*} Overvaluation of Opening Stock as per Cost Accounts

(8 Marks)

⁼ Value in Cost Accounts – Value in Financial Accounts

⁼ Rs. 1,38,000 - Rs. 1,15,000 = Rs. 23,000.

^{**} Overvaluation of Closing Stock as per Cost Accounts

⁼ Value in Cost Accounts – Value in Financial Accounts

⁼ Rs. 1,22,000 - Rs. 1,12,500 = Rs. 9,500.